

School Accountability Report Card

Reported Using Data from the 2010-11 School Year

Published During 2011-12

Every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC), by February 1 of each year. The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC webpage at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/>.
- For additional information about the school, parents and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

I. Data and Access

EdData Partnership Web Site

EdData is a partnership of the CDE, EdSource, and the Fiscal Crisis Management and Assistance Team (FCMAT) that provides extensive financial, demographic, and performance information about California's public kindergarten through grade twelve school districts and schools.

DataQuest

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest webpage at <http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/> that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district, the county, and the state. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., state Academic Performance Index [API], federal Adequate Yearly Progress [AYP]), test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners.

Internet Access

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible. Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

II. About This School

Contact Information (School Year 2011-12)

School		District	
School Name	San Lorenzo Valley Elementary School	District Name	San Lorenzo Valley Unified School District
Street	7155 Highway 9	Phone Number	(831) 336-5194
City, State, Zip	Felton, CA 95018	Web Site	www.slv.k12.ca.us
Phone Number	(831) 335-4475	Superintendent	Julie Haff
Principal	Shannon Calden	E-mail Address	jhaff@slvusd.org
E-mail Address	scalden@slvusd.org	CDS Code	44-69807-6049852

School Description and Mission Statement (School Year 2010-11)

This section provides information about the school, its programs and its goals.

Our mission statement is: We are a community of dedicated educators supporting one another to develop critical thinkers and inspire confident learners. We are committed to making a difference in the lives of children through teaching innovative programs, engaging curriculum, active communication and essential interventions

San Lorenzo Valley Elementary school is a two-time California Distinguished school. Our vision is to build and sustain innovative programs, engaging curriculum and essential interventions that support continuous improvement in student achievement.

Today, elementary children need exposure to advanced technologies, sophisticated learning materials, and innovative teaching methods. SLE has responded by recently equipping all classrooms with state of the art video and computer technologies, promoting regular collaboration for teachers about student achievement, and perhaps most important of all, giving teachers the resources to grow in their knowledge of new instructional methods.

SLE students also benefit from location: the school shares a large campus with the district's middle and senior high schools. This proximity allows for many cross-age tutoring opportunities. Wanting always to keep our cherished local natural environment in mind, SLE teachers take advantage of our unique location to create hands-on lessons, teaching children to care for our natural environment.

SLE is located in the heart of the Santa Cruz Mountains. SLE has been an integral part of the Valley for the past 60 years and is now in its third generation of students. At the beginning of the 06-07 school year, San Lorenzo Valley Elementary School became a K-5 school. Our school now includes 565 students. Our K-3 students participate in class size reductions, with those classrooms being staffed at a ratio of 22 to 1.

San Lorenzo Valley Elementary is one of 2 elementary schools in the San Lorenzo Valley Unified. Curriculum is focused on highest academic achievement. During the 2010-2011 school year, 565 K-5th grade students were enrolled at the school, with classes arranged on a traditional schedule. Our teachers engage in regular collaboration around student achievement on Wednesday afternoons. We also include music enrichment, science enrichment and art into our curriculum because we value educating all facets of our children's minds. Please visit our school or website to hear about all of the excitement that is happening at SLE.

SLE is the place to be!

Opportunities for Parental Involvement (School Year 2010-11)

This section provides information on how parents can become involved in school activities, including contact information pertaining to organized opportunities for parent involvement.

San Lorenzo Valley Unified greatly benefits from its supportive parents who participate in district wide committees and advisory teams. SLVUSD parents participate in the District Curriculum Council, GATE Advisory Team, District Advisory Council, the District Arts Team and the District EduTech Team. Parents are welcome to join district-wide committees as well as site based groups to support student achievement throughout the district for our K- 12 students.

For more information on how to participate as a parent and/or community member, please contact the the Principal, 831-335-4475.

SLE parents participate are actively involved in the school on a regular basis, and are one of the keys to the success of our students. There are multiple ways for parents to be a part of the school including School Site Council, which monitors categorical budgets and approves SPSA, or the Bobcat Club, our parent group, which provides funds to supplement our programs. In addition, parents volunteer in classrooms, drive on field trips, provide our Art Masterpiece program, and assist in a number of other ways.

Parents or community members who wish to participate in district level leadership teams may contact the San Lorenzo Valley Unified District Office at (831) 336-8852. Parents who are interested in supporting their child's site directly as a volunteer, participant in site level committees and/ or classroom activity support should contact their school directly.

San Lorenzo Valley Elementary School 7155 Highway 9, Felton, CA 95018

Shannon Calden, Principal scalden@slvusd.org

Website: www.sle.slvusd.org

Sherry Parker, Administrative Assistant: 831-335-4475

Sue Finau, Registrar: 831-335-4475

Office Fax: 831-335-4768

Attendance Line: 831-335-7770

Mitzi Poetzing, Psychologist: 831 -335-4475 x 241

Student Enrollment by Grade Level (School Year 2010-11)

Grade Level	Number of Students
Kindergarten	90
Grade 1	100
Grade 2	73
Grade 3	96
Grade 4	86
Grade 5	106
Total Enrollment	551

Student Enrollment by Group (School Year 2010-11)

Group	Percent of Total Enrollment	Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
Black or African American	0.2	White	81.3
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.5	Two or More Races	3.8
Asian	1.5	Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	18.1
Filipino	0.4	English Learners	1.8
Hispanic or Latino	12.2	Students with Disabilities	9.3
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	0.2		

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Elementary)

Grade Level	2008-09				2009-10				2010-11			
	Avg. Class Size	Number of Classrooms			Avg. Class Size	Number of Classrooms			Avg. Class Size	Number of Classrooms		
		1-20	21-32	33+		1-20	21-32	33+		1-20	21-32	33+
K	17.3	4	0	0	23		4		22.5	2	2	0
1	20	4	0	0	21	1	2		22.3	3	1	0
2	18.3	3	0	0	23		4		22.8	1	3	0
3	18.6	5	0	0	22		3		22	5	0	0
4	27.7	0	3	0	30		3		25.3	1	3	0
5	29	0	3	0	33		1	2	30.7	0	3	0
Other					K-3 - 20		1					

* Number of classes indicates how many classes fall into each size category (a range of total students per class).

III. School Climate

School Safety Plan (School Year 2010-11)

This section provides information about the school's comprehensive safety plan, including the dates on which the safety plan was last reviewed, updated, and discussed with faculty; as well as a brief description of the key elements of the plan.

Safety of students and staff is a primary concern of San Lorenzo Valley Unified. In our annual Parent Survey, approximately 85 – 95% of SLVUSD parents responded that they are confident our schools are physically and emotionally safe for their children. 95% of our parents responded that they are confident each site is prepared to meet emergencies effectively. Schools in the district are in compliance with all laws, rules, and regulations pertaining to hazardous materials and state earthquake standards. Each site works with the district and county to ensure that there is a Safety Plan at each site. All annual revisions were communicated to the both the classified and certificated staff. The school's disaster preparedness plan includes steps for ensuring student and staff safety during a disaster. Fire and disaster drills are conducted on a regular basis throughout the school year. Lock down drills are held annually. In the Spring of 2008, the Felton campus participated in a county sponsored Code Red Drill; this experience provided some important practice and dialogue between the district and county that supports our commitment to physical safety for all students.

Students are supervised before and after school by certificated, classified and/or administrative staff. K - 5 students are supervised by classified staff at lunch; secondary students are supervised by certificated, classified and administrative staff during their lunch.

There are two security support staff at the high school. At each school, there is a designated area for student drop off and pick up. Visitors are required to check in at the front office at each site.

Suspensions and Expulsions

Rate	School			District		
	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11
Suspensions	2.64	0.89	0.91	3.41	6.13	4.77
Expulsions	0	0	0	0.11	0.05	0.05

* The rate of suspensions and expulsions is calculated by dividing the total number of incidents by the total enrollment (and multiplying by 100).

IV. School Facilities

School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (School Year 2011-12)

This section provides information from the most recent Facility Inspection Tool (FIT) data (or equivalent), including:

- Description of the safety, cleanliness, and adequacy of the school facility
- Description of any planned or recently completed facility improvements
- The year and month in which the data were collected
- Description of any needed maintenance to ensure good repair

Year and month in which data were collected: April 2011

San Lorenzo Valley Elementary was originally constructed in 1949 and is comprised of 22 classrooms, 1 multipurpose room, 1 library, 1 staff lounge, 2 Computer labs, and 2 playgrounds. Recent remodeling included a 2 story building with 7 classrooms. In addition, construction of 2 new classrooms and a full science room was completed in January, 2010.

Cleaning Process: The principal works daily with the custodial staff of 2 (2 full-time and 0 part-time) to ensure that the cleaning of the school is maintained to provide for a clean and safe school. The district governing board has adopted cleaning standards for all schools in the district. A summary of these standards are available at the district office for review. Maintenance and Repair District maintenance staff ensures that the repairs necessary to keep the school in good repair and work orders are completed in a timely manner. A work order process is used to ensure efficient service and highest priority are given to emergency repairs. While reviewing this report, please note that even minor discrepancies are reported in the inspection process.

Deferred Maintenance Budget: The district participates in the State School Deferred Maintenance Program, which provides matching funds on a dollar-for-dollar basis, to assist school districts with expenditures for major repair or replacement of existing school building components. Typically this includes roofing, plumbing, heating, electrical systems, interior or exterior painting, and floor systems. For the 2010-11 school year, the district allocated \$98,986 for deferred maintenance program.

During the 2008-09 school year, the district's governing board did approve deferred maintenance projects for the school, which included roof project and general repairs at sites throughout the district.

The Facility Inspection Tool (FIT) was completed in April, 2011. The district has developed a plan to address the areas of need. All facilities are in compliance with Williams requirements and are safe for student, staff and community use.

School Facility Good Repair Status (School Year 2011-12)

This section provides information from the most recent Facility Inspection Tool (FIT) data (or equivalent), including:

- Determination of repair status for systems listed
- Description of any needed maintenance to ensure good repair
- The Overall Rating (bottom row)

System Inspected	Repair Status				Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned
	Exemplary	Good	Fair	Poor	
Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	[X]	[]	[]	[]	
Interior: Interior Surfaces	[X]	[]	[]	[]	
Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/ Vermin Infestation	[X]	[]	[]	[]	
Electrical: Electrical	[X]	[]	[]	[]	

System Inspected	Repair Status				Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned
	Exemplary	Good	Fair	Poor	
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains	[X]	[]	[]	[]	
Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	[X]	[]	[]	[]	
Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs	[X]	[]	[]	[]	
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences	[X]	[]	[]	[]	
Overall Rating	[X]	[]	[]	[]	

V. Teachers

Teacher Credentials

Teachers	School			District
	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	2010-11
With Full Credential	30	31	29	130
Without Full Credential	0	0	0	0
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence	0	0	0	---

Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions

Indicator	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12
Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners	0	0	0
Total Teacher Misassignments	0	0	0
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	0	0

* "Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc.

** "Vacant Teacher Positions" refer to positions not filled by a single designated teacher assigned to teach the entire course at the beginning of the school year or semester.

Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers (School Year 2010-11)

The Federal Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA), also known as No Child Left Behind (NCLB), requires that core academic subjects be taught by Highly Qualified Teachers, defined as having at least a bachelor's degree, an appropriate California teaching credential, and demonstrated core academic subject area competence. For more information, see the CDE *Improving Teacher and Principal Quality* webpage at: <http://www.cde.ca.gov/nclb/sr/tq/>

Location of Classes	Percent of Classes In Core Academic Subjects Taught by	
	NCLB Compliant Teachers	Non-NCLB Compliant Teachers
This School	100	0
All Schools in District	100	0
High-Poverty Schools in District	0	0
Low-Poverty Schools in District	100	0

* High-poverty schools are defined as those schools with student eligibility of approximately 40 percent or more in the free and reduced price meals program. Low-poverty schools are those with student eligibility of approximately 25 percent or less in the free and reduced price meals program.

VI. Support Staff

Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff (School Year 2010-11)

Title	Number of FTE Assigned to School	Average Number of Students per Academic Counselor
Academic Counselor		
Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development)	.5	---
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)		---
Library Media Services Staff (paraprofessional)	1	---
Psychologist	.5	---
Social Worker		---
Nurse	.2	---
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	.6	---
Resource Specialist (non-teaching)		---
Other		---

* One Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full-time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full-time.

VII. Curriculum and Instructional Materials

Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2011-12)

This section describes whether the textbooks and instructional materials used at the school are from the most recent adoption; whether there are sufficient textbooks and instructional materials for each student; and information about the school's use of any supplemental curriculum or non-adopted textbooks or instructional materials.

Year and month in which data were collected: November 2008

San Lorenzo Valley Unified held a public hearing on October 6, 2010, and determined that each school within the district had sufficient and good quality textbooks, instructional materials, or science lab equipment Pursuant to the settlement of Williams vs. the State of California. All students, including English learners, are given their own individual standards-aligned textbooks or instructional materials, or both, in core subjects for use in the classroom and to take home. Textbooks and supplementary materials are adopted according to a cycle developed by the California Department of Education, making the textbooks used in the school the most current available. Materials approved for use by the State are reviewed by all teachers and a recommendation is made to the School Board by a selection committee composed of teachers and administrators. All recommended materials are available for parent examination at the district office prior to adoption. The table displays information about the quality, currency, and availability of the standards-aligned textbooks and other instructional materials used at the school.

Core Curriculum Area	Textbooks and Instructional Materials/ Year of Adoption	From Most Recent Adoption?	Percent of Students Lacking Own Assigned Copy
Reading/Language Arts	Houghton Mifflin Adoption 2003	Yes	0.0%
Mathematics	MacMillan/McGraw Hill Adoption 2008	Yes	0.0%
Science	MacMillan/McGraw Hill Adoption 2007	Yes	0.0%
History-Social Science	Scott Foresman Adoption 2006	Yes	0.0%
Foreign Language			
Visual and Performing Arts			

VIII. School Finances

Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries (Fiscal Year 2009-10)

Level	Total Expenditures Per Pupil	Expenditures Per Pupil (Supplemental/Restricted)	Expenditures Per Pupil (Basic/Unrestricted)	Average Teacher Salary
School Site	\$5,545	\$1,271	\$4,274	\$61,578
District	---	---	\$4,936	\$60,341
Percent Difference: School Site and District	---	---	13.41%	2.05%
State	---	---	\$5,455	\$63,062
Percent Difference: School Site and State	---	---	14.24%	1.64%

* **Supplemental/Restricted** expenditures come from money whose use is controlled by law or by a donor. Money that is designated for specific purposes by the district or governing board is not considered restricted.

** **Basic/Unrestricted expenditures** are from money whose use, except for general guidelines, is not controlled by law or by a donor.

For detailed information on school expenditures for all districts in California, see the CDE Current Expense of Education & Per-pupil Spending webpage at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/ec/>. For information on teacher salaries for all districts in California, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits webpage at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/>. To look up expenditures and salaries for a specific school district, see the Ed-Data Web site at <http://www.ed-data.org>.

Types of Services Funded (Fiscal Year 2010-11)

This section provides specific information about the types of programs and services available at the school that support and assist students. For example, this narrative may include information about supplemental educational services related to the school's federal Program Improvement (PI) status.

In addition to general state funding, San Lorenzo Valley Unified receives state and federal funding for the following categorical funds and other support Programs: Title I (K - 5 sites), Title II (Professional Development), EIA, EETT (Technology), School Violence/School Safety, TUPE

Data within the SARC was provided by San Lorenzo Valley Unified School District, retrieved from the 2009-2010 SARC template, and/or located on Dataquest (<http://data1.cde.ca.gov/dataquest>). Dataquest is a search engine, maintained by the California Department of Education (CDE), which allows the public to search for facts and figures pertaining to schools and districts throughout the state. Among the data available, parents and community may find information about school performance, test scores, student demographics, staffing, and student misconduct/intervention.

Teacher and Administrative Salaries (Fiscal Year 2009-10)

Category	District Amount	State Average for Districts In Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$32,085	\$39,074
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$55,087	\$60,172
Highest Teacher Salary	\$75,828	\$78,468
Average Principal Salary (Elementary)	\$93,540	\$95,926
Average Principal Salary (Middle)	\$90,478	\$99,356
Average Principal Salary (High)	\$103,615	\$107,041
Superintendent Salary	\$140,796	\$148,555
Percent of Budget for Teacher Salaries	38%	38%
Percent of Budget for Administrative Salaries	6%	6%

* For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits webpage at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/>.

IX. Student Performance

The Standardized Testing and Reporting (STAR) Program consists of several key components, including:

- California Standards Tests (CSTs), which include English-language arts (ELA) and mathematics in grades two through eleven; science in grades five, eight, and nine through eleven; and history-social science in grades eight, and nine through eleven.
- California Modified Assessment (CMA), an alternate assessment that is based on modified achievement standards in ELA for grades three through eleven; mathematics for grades three through seven, Algebra I, and Geometry; and science in grades five and eight, and Life Science in grade ten. The CMA is designed to assess those students whose disabilities preclude them from achieving grade-level proficiency on an assessment of the California content standards with or without accommodations.
- California Alternate Performance Assessment (CAPA), includes ELA and mathematics in grades two through eleven, and science for grades five, eight, and ten. The CAPA is given to those students with significant cognitive disabilities whose disabilities prevent them from taking either the CSTs with accommodations or modifications or the CMA with accommodations.

The assessments under the STAR Program show how well students are doing in relation to the state content standards. On each of these assessments, student scores are reported as performance levels.

For detailed information regarding the STAR Program results for each grade and performance level, including the percent of students not tested, see the CDE STAR Results Web site at <http://star.cde.ca.gov>.

Standardized Testing and Reporting Results for All Students - Three-Year Comparison

Subject	School			District			State		
	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11
English-Language Arts	67	74	69	64	65	65	49	52	54
Mathematics	76	83	76	51	53	51	46	48	50
Science	78	82	79	70	68	63	50	54	57
History-Social Science	N/A	N/A	N/A	49	50	52	41	44	48

* Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Standardized Testing and Reporting Results by Student Group - Most Recent Year

Group	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced			
	English-Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	History-Social Science
All Students in the LEA	65	51	63	52
All Student at the School	69	76	79	N/A
Male	63	78	80	N/A
Female	74	74	77	N/A
Black or African American	0	0	0	N/A
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0	0	N/A
Asian	0	0	0	N/A
Filipino	0	0	0	N/A
Hispanic or Latino	49	66	50	N/A
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander				N/A
White	73	79	82	N/A
Two or More Races	38	54	0	N/A
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	62	70	71	N/A
English Learners	0	0	0	N/A
Students with Disabilities	38	62	0	N/A
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services				N/A

* Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

California Physical Fitness Test Results (School Year 2010-11)

The California Physical Fitness Test (PFT) is administered to students in grades five, seven, and nine only. This table displays by grade level the percent of students meeting the fitness standards for the most recent testing period. For detailed information regarding this test, and comparisons of a school's test results to the district and state, see the CDE PFT webpage at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/tg/pf/>.

Grade Level	Percent of Students Meeting Fitness Standards		
	Four of Six Standards	Five of Six Standards	Six of Six Standards
5	11.4	23.8	54.3

* Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

X. Accountability

Academic Performance Index

The Academic Performance Index (API) is an annual measure of state academic performance and progress of schools in California. API scores range from 200 to 1,000, with a statewide target of 800. For detailed information about the API, see the CDE API webpage at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ap/>.

Academic Performance Index Ranks - Three-Year Comparison

This table displays the school's statewide and similar schools' API ranks. The **statewide API rank** ranges from 1 to 10. A statewide rank of 1 means that the school has an API score in the lowest ten percent of all schools in the state, while a statewide rank of 10 means that the school has an API score in the highest ten percent of all schools in the state.

The **similar schools API rank** reflects how a school compares to 100 statistically matched "similar schools." A similar schools rank of 1 means that the school's academic performance is comparable to the lowest performing ten schools of the 100 similar schools, while a similar schools rank of 10 means that the school's academic performance is better than at least 90 of the 100 similar schools.

API Rank	2008	2009	2010
Statewide	9	8	9
Similar Schools	5	5	7

Academic Performance Index Growth by Student Group - Three-Year Comparison

Group	Actual API Change		
	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11
All Students at the School	1	30	-13
Black or African American			
American Indian or Alaska Native			
Filipino			
Hispanic or Latino			
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander			
White	0	34	-11
Two or More Races	N/D		
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	-11	45	-10
English Learners			
Students with Disabilities			

* "N/D" means that no data were available to the CDE or LEA to report. "B" means the school did not have a valid API Base and there is no Growth or target information. "C" means the school had significant demographic changes and there is no Growth or target information.

Academic Performance Index Growth by Student Group - 2011 Growth API Comparison

This table displays, by student group, the number of students included in the API and the 2011 Growth API at the school, LEA, and state level.

Group	2011 Growth API					
	School		LEA		State	
	# of Students	Growth API	# of Students	Growth API	# of Students	Growth API
All Students at the School	345	877	1,860	828	4,683,676	778
Black or African American	1		20	742	317,856	696
American Indian or Alaska Native	2		16	723	33,774	733
Asian	4		22	834	398,869	898
Filipino	2		8		123,245	859
Hispanic or Latino	38	820	151	780	2,406,749	729
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	0		5		26,953	764
White	285	891	1,568	837	1,258,831	845
Two or More Races	13	785	69	789	76,766	836
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	70	838	335	772	2,731,843	726
English Learners	4		28	700	1,521,844	707
Students with Disabilities	43	748	252	663	521,815	595

Adequate Yearly Progress

The federal ESEA requires that all schools and districts meet the following Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) criteria:

- Participation rate on the state's standards-based assessments in ELA and mathematics
- Percent proficient on the state's standards-based assessments in ELA and mathematics
- API as an additional indicator
- Graduation rate (for secondary schools)

Detailed information about AYP, including participation rates and percent proficient results by student group, can be found at the CDE Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) webpage at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ay/>.

Adequate Yearly Progress Overall and by Criteria (School Year 2010-11)

AYP Criteria	School	District
Made AYP Overall	No	No
Met Participation Rate: English-Language Arts	Yes	Yes
Met Participation Rate: Mathematics	Yes	Yes
Met Percent Proficient: English-Language Arts	No	No
Met Percent Proficient: Mathematics	Yes	No
Met API Criteria	Yes	Yes
Met Graduation Rate (if applicable)	N/A	Yes

Federal Intervention Program (School Year 2011-12)

Schools and districts receiving federal Title I funding enter Program Improvement (PI) if they do not make AYP for two consecutive years in the same content area (ELA or mathematics) or on the same indicator (API or graduation rate). After entering PI, schools and districts advance to the next level of intervention with each additional year that they do not make AYP. For detailed information about PI identification, see the CDE PI Status Determinations webpage: <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ay/tidetermine.asp>.

Indicator	School	District
Program Improvement Status	Not in PI	Not In PI
First Year of Program Improvement		
Year in Program Improvement		
Number of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	---	0
Percent of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	---	0

XI. Instructional Planning and Scheduling

Professional Development

This section provides information on the number of days provided for professional development and continuous professional growth in the most recent three year period. Questions that may be answered include:

- What are the primary/major areas of focus for staff development and specifically how were they selected? For example, were student achievement data used to determine the need for professional development in reading instruction?
- What are the methods by which professional development is delivered (e.g., after school workshops, conference attendance, individual mentoring, etc.)?
- How are teachers supported during implementation (e.g., through in-class coaching, teacher-principal meetings, student performance data reporting, etc.)?

SLVUSD is committed to "leading the learning" and provides multiple opportunities for professional development throughout the year. We believe that the best professional development occurs when educators spend significant time collaborating around four essential questions:

1. What do we want all students to learn?
2. How do we know if students learned?
3. How do we systematically respond when students don't learn?
4. How do we systematically respond when students already know the learning goals?

Because we have aligned our vision on professional learning, SLVUSD teachers and staff meet for an hour each week for grade level and/or department collaboration. Educators analyze the results from student work and then determine instruction that will best meet the needs and strengths of students. Sites use collaboration time to focus on their own professional learning as well. Each site has a focus area for instructional improvement that is developed with the administrator and presented in the site plan and in teacher/administrator goals. Sites are also providing time for teachers to observe classroom instruction within their own school and throughout the county. The opportunity to watch skilled instruction and then debrief with colleagues is a powerful learning tool for educators.

Throughout the year, the district also provides professional development in a variety of avenues. Administrators meet regularly as an Administrative Council to learn with their colleagues about collaboration, instructional strategies and research and leadership. Teacher leaders participate in district teams that focus on technology, instructional strategies, collaboration, gifted education, autism and other key issues that improve classroom and schoolwide learning for all students. SLVUSD staff participates in professional development days at the beginning of the school year. For three days, teachers and administrators learn together, using research-based information to improve their instructional program and align their curriculum. There is a final professional development day in January for educators to reflect on their current instructional program and develop a plan for the second semester.

SLE Focus: Professional Learning Communities. The SLE staff is developing collaboration skills that strengthen their instructional program each day in the classroom. Grade level teams are developing essential standards to target what each student will learn within the school year. Teams are also creating assessments in order to measure what students learned and to get feedback for reteaching and support. Teachers at this site are also participating in the Cotsen Grant, which provides special professional development to lead teachers.